

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Soil Erosion

Sheet and Rill Erosion

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Soil surface organic residue cover > 80%. Assessment level: Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes ☐ No ☐

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Wind Erosion

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Soil surface organic residue cover > 80%. Assessment level: Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Classic Gully Erosion

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Soil erosion is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site. Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic streambanks/shorelines, all streambanks/shorelines on the operation show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Soil Quality Degradation

Organic Matter Depletion

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Soil organic matter depletion is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil organic matter depletion. Assessment level: Ground cover meets state criteria specific to ecological site.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area. The topsoil is not displaced. Woody residue is being added to the forest floor through branch breakage and treefalls.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Tree/shrub pruning residue is utilized on the farm and distributed throughout the site. Prunings are not burned.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Compaction

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Soil compaction is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil compaction problems. Assessment level: Compaction is managed to meet client's production and management objectives.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Soil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth is not impeded. No more than 15 percent of the forested area is devoted to roads, trails, and landings.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest**Subsidence****Planning Criteria**

Screening level: Histisol soils are not present OR Histisols soils are not exhibiting subsidence. Assessment level: Subsidence is adequately managed to meet client's objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

The forest floor is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area. There is no artificial drainage operating on the site.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Excess Water

Runoff and Flooding and Ponding

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Seasonal High Water Table

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Seasonal high water table does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Forest management controls the soil moisture levels such that cyclical water table changes are not extreme.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Insufficient Water

Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: PLU is not irrigated. Assessment level: The irrigation system components and management result in Maine Irrigation Management Index > 60 AND meets applicable State in-stream flow and lake and pond water levels requirements.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

An irrigation water management plan is followed that: -meets the crop's needs, while maximizing irrigation water efficiency, -schedules water application based on soil moisture monitoring and/or evapotranspiration monitoring, -measures and records the amount of water you use to irrigate as it comes onto the farm and goes to each field, AND -the system's distribution uniformity has been evaluated and necessary changes were made.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Inefficient Moisture Management

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Moisture management is not a problem AND activities do not cause inefficient moisture management problems. Assessment level: Runoff and evapotranspiration levels are minimized to meet client's management objectives.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Management choices include actions to limit moisture loss. For example, maintaining shade, retaining the forest litter layer, and maintaining correct stocking levels.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Water Quality Degradation

Pesticides in Surface Water

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

A site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies are applied. If pesticide application is required, an environmental risk screening tool is used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool) and application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Pesticides in Ground Water

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Pest control chemicals are not applied. Assessment level: Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize ground water impacts.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies. Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool). Application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1 NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Nutrients in Surface Water

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas.
Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas

Yes ☐ No ☐

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Nutrients in Ground Water

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas.
Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize ground water impacts.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas

Yes ☐ No ☐

Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants. Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1 NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Ground Water

Planning Criteria

A site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies are applied. If pesticide application is required, an environmental risk screening tool is used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approval tool) and application rates and timing are compliant with the label and the conservation plan.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Excessive Sediment in Surface Water

Planning Criteria

Screening level: There are no untreated sources of erosion AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND heavy use areas are stable AND the SVAP2 - bank condition is ≥ 5 .

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Elevated Water Temperature

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is ≥ 5 AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is ≥ 5 AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is ≥ 6 , OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Air Quality Impacts

Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or untreated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Emissions of Ozone Precursors

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Operations are not present that produce ozone precursor emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities are: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO/manure management, Fertilization (manure/commercial). Assessment level: Ozone precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Pesticides, including fumigants, are applied in a way that VOC emissions are reduced. For example, spot spraying, pest/target sensing application equipment, alternative pesticide formulations, or low emission fumigation methods.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site. Species have high-growth rates or long life span with the ability to reach a large size.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Degraded Plant Condition

Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Plant production and health is not a client concern.
Assessment level: Forest species are adapted to site AND composition and stand density meets the client's objectives and production goals.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Trees/shrubs are pruned to improve plant productivity, health, and vigor.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Inadequate Structure and Composition

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Trees/shrubs are pruned to improve plant structure and composition.

Yes ☐ No ☐

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Excessive Plant Pest Pressure

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure.
Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Trees are selected or planted that are tolerant of known damaging pests.

Yes ☐ No ☐

The current plant composition prevents outbreak of non-desirable species.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1 NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Wildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Sites needing wildfire protection or using prescribed burning have a permanent or temporary strip of bare or vegetated land that retards fire.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Trees/shrubs are pruned to reduce wildfire hazard and/or excessive biomass accumulation.

Yes ☐

No ☐

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health. Woody debris on the forest floor supports wildlife but does not present an elevated fire risk.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Fire risk to sensitive sites are controlled by treatment, removal or modification of vegetation, debris and detritus in a strip or area.

Yes ☐

No ☐

On sites needing wildfire protection, a hazardous fuel reduction treatment has occurred or will occur.

Yes ☐

No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat

Inadequate Habitat - Food

Planning Criteria

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is ≥ 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is ≥ 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is ≥ 7 , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, AND - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruption--chemical, biological, or mechanical.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help threatened, endangered, or declining wildlife species.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter

Planning Criteria

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is ≥ 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is ≥ 7 AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is ≥ 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is ≥ 7 , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruption--chemical, biological, or mechanical.

Yes ☐

No ☐

The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health. Woody debris on the forest floor supports wildlife but does not present an elevated fire risk.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Large, old, and/or "wolf" trees are intentionally retained in the forest to provide wildlife shelter. For example, trees with gnarled appearance, loose bark, or cavities.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Dead and/or down trees are intentionally left in the forest to provide wildlife cover.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help threatened, endangered, or declining wildlife species.

Yes ☐

No ☐

The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.

Yes ☐

No ☐

The plant cover provides cover and shelter for the chosen wildlife species.

Yes ☐

No ☐

The operation has areas suited for additional tree planting to benefit wildlife, either within existing plantings or areas not fully utilized.

Yes ☐

No ☐

Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas

Yes ☐

No ☐

The pond/lake, which supports a natural or planted fish population, is managed: -to exclude livestock, -to control nuisance species and undesirable aquatic vegetation controlled, -to comply with state and local regulations when stocking the pond, AND -using a buffer zone of diverse, natural plant cover at least 35 feet wide.

Yes ☐

No ☐

CSP-2017-1 NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

Inadequate Habitat - Water

Planning Criteria

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is ≥ 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is ≥ 7 , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)

Planning Criteria

Assessment level: The WHSI rating is ≥ 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is ≥ 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is ≥ 7 , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

In-stream structures (dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream/downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

People, vehicles, equipment, or livestock are only moved across a stream/river at a bridge, culvert, or stabilized ford crossing(s). Travel across the stream/river beyond these crossings is controlled.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1 NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative Forest

<p>The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health. Woody debris on the forest floor supports wildlife but does not present an elevated fire risk.</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>There are documented occurrences of sensitive native plant communities within the forest. A conservation plan identifies goals for the plant community. Invasive plant monitoring has occurred, and control treatments have been implemented when necessary.</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan></p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Plant growth is managed to develop and maintain early successional habitat to help chosen wildlife species. <see State Wildlife Action Plan></p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Designated areas are planted as habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. Non-cropped area protected from disruption during nesting and foraging periods--chemical, biological, or mechanical.</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>No <input type="checkbox"/></p>

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Livestock Production Limitation

Inadequate Feed and Forage

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

An existing prescribed grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels and rotation periods are designed to avoid harm to sensitive plants.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Inadequate Shelter

Planning Criteria

Planning Criteria Met

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives.

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Evaluation Test Met

An existing prescribed grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels and rotation periods are designed to lessen exposure to inclement weather or other site specific concerns.

Yes ☐ No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest**Inadequate Water****Planning Criteria****Planning Criteria Met**

Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifier, water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal needs.

Yes ☐No ☐**Evaluation Tests****Evaluation Test Met**

An existing prescribed grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels and rotation periods are designed to utilize available water sources without damaging them.

Yes ☐No ☐

CSP-2017-1_NC - LCI - Long Leaf Pine Initiative_Forest

Inefficient Energy Use

Equipment and Facilities

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: A USDA approved energy audit has been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Energy-efficient equipment is used in forest management activities. For example, the smallest type and size of equipment needed to accomplish the activity is used.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations

Planning Criteria

Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: A USDA approved energy audit has been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.

Planning Criteria Met

Yes ☐ No ☐

Evaluation Tests

Energy-efficient actions are used in forest management activities. For example, limiting the number of trips into the forest, or leaving woody residue in place if it is not a fire or pest hazard.

Evaluation Test Met

Yes ☐ No ☐